

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COLUMBIA INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY
INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. [19-cv-04683-TSH](#)

**ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 157

On May 30, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a combined Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed and an Administrative Motion to Seal, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. *See* ECF Nos. 157, 158 (continuation of Motion). On July 10, 2025, the Court denied in part Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion and directed each party to take further action. *See* ECF No. 193.

The Court directed Simpson to propose narrowly tailored redactions to Dr. Serwin's report (ECF No. 157-6). *See* ECF No. 193. On July 10, 2025, Simpson filed a Supplemental Declaration from Lindsay van Keulen (ECF No. 195) setting forth the bases for redacting portions of Dr. Serwin's report, along with a redacted version of the report (ECF No. 195-1). However, Simpson did not file an unredacted version of Dr. Serwin's report containing highlighted portions corresponding to Simpson's proposed redactions. Therefore, the Court cannot review the portions requested to be sealed.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Simpson to comply with Local Rule 79-5(e)(2) within four days. If Simpson fails to do so, Plaintiffs' motion will be denied with respect to Dr. Serwin's report (ECF No. 157-6).

1 The Court directed Plaintiffs to file unredacted versions of Plaintiffs' Opposition (ECF No.
2 157-2) and Plaintiffs' Controverting Statement of Facts (ECF No. 157-3) containing highlighted
3 portions corresponding to Plaintiffs' proposed redactions for these documents. *See* ECF No. 193.
4 On July 14, 2025, Plaintiffs filed an unredacted version of their Opposition (ECF No. 196) and an
5 unredacted version of their Controverting Statement of Facts (ECF No. 197) that comply with
6 Local Rule 79-5(e)(2).

7 Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** that
8 Plaintiffs' Opposition (ECF No. 196) and Plaintiffs' Controverting Statement of Facts (ECF No.
9 197) remain under seal. As the redacted versions of the Opposition (ECF No. 156) and the
10 Controverting Statement of Facts (ECF No. 156-1) have already been filed in the public record, no
11 further action is required.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 Dated: August 1, 2025

15 
16 THOMAS S. HIXSON
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28